

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,205	10/701,205 11/04/2003		Patrick W. Turley	S697.12-0070	. 5175
164	7590	11/30/2005	EXAMINER		
KINNEY THE KINN		E, P.A. IGE BUILDING	NGUYEN, JO	NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC	
312 SOUT		· -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAP	OLIS, MN	55415-1002	3654	•	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary)/701,205	TURLEY ET AL.	TURLEY ET AL.				
			aminer	Art Unit					
			hn Q. Nguyen	3654					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ition appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a). ication. ory period will ap I, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may ply and will expire SIX (6) MO e the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status									
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>05 Octob</i>	er 2005.						
2a)⊠			on is non-final.						
3)	<i>'</i> —								
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex pa	arte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,7-9 and 11-19</u> is/are pendin	g in the app	lication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8,9,15 and 16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠									
7)🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or ele	ction requirement.		•				
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) <u> </u>	d or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the draw	ring(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is	s required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Exami	ner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:		•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa 	• •		n received in this National	Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action f		` ''	nt received					
		or a not or a	·						
Attachmen	t(s)		÷						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/701,205

Art Unit: 3654

Applicant's election with traverse of species I, Figs. 1-5, claims 1-7, 10-14, 17-20, in the reply filed on 5/17/05 has been acknowledged. Claims 8, 9, 15, 16 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

The drawings were received on 10/5/05. These drawings are acceptable.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 7, 12-14, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lantsman (US-6027068) in view of Rieth (US-3614016).

Lantsman disclose an apparatus having substantially all the claimed features including a spool 34 in a housing for dispensing through an exit orifice provided by guides 30/32, means including element 28 for advancing the strand, and tubular guide member 32, or 32A, or 32B. Lantsman does not disclose a removable pin and associated recesses to prevent the spool from rotating. Rieth discloses a stop pin 25 for releasable engagement with holes 12 to lock the spool from rotation. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the apparatus of Lantsman with a stop pin and holes as taught by Rieth to lock the spool. Adhesive substrate such as adhesive tape are well known for holding an object stationary/in-place; therefore the use of an adhesive substrate over the stop pin to hold the pin stationary/in-place would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

The method steps of claim 19 are deemed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the combination above; the tensioning step would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prevent tangling when assembling the cassette.

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record does not show or render obvious an apparatus as recited in claim 11.

Claims 17 and 18 are allowed for the same reason as for claim 11.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the changes to claims 1 and 19.

In response to applicant's argument that Rieth is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it is deemed that Rieth is reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, i.e. to positively prevent the spool from rotating. Rieth was applied to show that the insertion of a removable pin into recesses in the support and spool to positively prevent the spool from rotating is old and well known.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6952. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday, from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki, can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,205

Art Unit: 3654

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3654